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Figure 1: Experiment Condition and Task: Participants saw their avatars body from a third person perspective mirroring their movements and facial expression. Each participant experienced both an avatar with a Human body (either an adult female or male) and one with Non-Human body (a robot). Participants had to clap their hands to destroy falling spheres when they reach a certain level in front of the avatar (indicated by green bars). Only half of the participants received audio feedback in response to their virtual body interactions.

Abstract

This paper presents an exploratory experiment measuring the role of audio feedback on the illusion of virtual body ownership (IVBO) under non-immersive mixed reality (MR) settings with Human and Non-Human avatars. Our preliminary results revealed that all avatars elicited a similar level of IVBO, despite the addition of audio feedback.
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1 Introduction

Avatar Embodiment is the physical process that employs the VR hardware and software to substitute a person’s body with a virtual one [Spanlang et al. 2014]. The visualization of an avatar replicating your movement in a first-person perspective in VR has strong physico-psychological effects. For instance, it can create the Illusion of Virtual Body Ownership (IVBO), when a person perceives a virtual body to be its own body [Lugrin et al. 2015]. The factors and effects of avatar embodiment in fully immersive VR systems, such as the IVBO, are well-known, and have been well-studied [Spanlang et al. 2014]. Meanwhile, despite interesting benefits, the research on IVBO in MR environments is practically non-existent.
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2 Previous work

One of the few works in the field of sound and IVBO has been done by [Sikström et al. 2014]. They developed a virtual reality game where a player had to fly around obstacles using virtual wings. Their experiment had four different conditions: i) no sound at all, ii) just sound feedback from avatar’s body, iii) sound feedback from the body and the virtual wings or iv) sound feedback from the body with asynchronous sound feedback from the wings. They found little to no differences in the perceived level of ownership of the wings between the four categories. However, in a non-immersive MR context with the constant awareness of our real body appearance, the presence virtual body sound cues could potentially be more important to elicit a sense of virtual body ownership.
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The following measures were collected in this study:

3.1 Measurements

- **The between-subjects factor** was composed of two conditions represented by the absence or presence of audio feedback (Figure 1). In the latter condition, different clap sound cues were played: a metallic sound for the Robot; and a real human clap for the Human avatar.

- **The within-factor** had two conditions: Human or Non-Human Avatar. As illustrated in Figure 2, each participant experienced two avatars: i) a humanoid robot, ii) and a realistic male or female human adult (depending on the participant’s gender). We assumed that uncanny valley effects could eventually happen and bias our study when using generic human avatars as suggested by [Lugrin et al. 2015]. Thus, we also used Non-Human avatars.

The experimental task consisted of a simple game of destroying targets (here represented by large blue spheres). One game session lasted 100 seconds. Participants had to clap their hands to destroy falling spheres, when they were reaching a certain level indicated by a green bar (see Figure 2). However, only half of the participant received audio feedback using in-ear noise-isolating headphones. To avoid an excessive end-to-end latency, a microphone was used to detect the participant’s clap, instead of the body tracking system.

3.2 Results

40 participants were involved in the experiment (19 female and 21 male with $M_{\text{age}} = 22.5$, $SD_{\text{age}} = 2.81$, 20 subjects in each group). To get a reliable score for the experienced IVBO, the items that concentrate on the perceived amount of illusion have been isolated. The remaining 12 items were analyzed by calculating the Cronbach’s Alpha. The high value ($\alpha = 0.87$) shows a high correlation between these items from which a mean IVBO value that was computed for each participant. As shown in Figure 3 for both avatars, the mean IVBO rate was higher with sound than without. However, there were no significant differences between Human with or without sound ($p = 0.054$) as well as for the Non-Human with or without sound ($p = 0.254$). Non significant differences in terms of game performances were found either, despite 72% of the participants stated that they preferred the Non-Human to the Human avatar.

4 Conclusion

We observed that all avatars elicited a similar level of body ownership despite their Human or Non-Human aspect. The addition of audio feedback appeared to not affect the illusion. As suggested by previous results in immersive virtual environments, virtual body sound cues seem to not be a important bottom-up factor of the IVBO in non-immersive mixed reality. Our future work is to continue our investigation by replicating this experiment with additional avatar-object interactions sound cues, as well measuring sound latency impact.
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