# The Impact of Stereo Rendering on the Perception of Normal Mapped Geometry in Virtual Reality

Martin Mišiak TH Köln, Computer Graphics Group University Würzburg, HCI Group Köln, Germany martin.misiak@th-koeln.de

Arnulph Fuhrmann TH Köln, Computer Graphics Group Köln, Germany arnulph.fuhrmann@th-koeln.de

## ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the effects of normal mapping on the perception of geometric depth between stereoscopic and non-stereoscopic views. Results show, that in a head-tracked environment, the addition of binocular disparity has no impact on the error rate in the detection of normal-mapped geometry. It does however significantly shorten the detection time.

## **CCS CONCEPTS**

• Human-centered computing  $\rightarrow$  Virtual reality; • Computing methodologies  $\rightarrow$  Perception.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Virtual Reality, Normal Maps, Binocular Disparity, Motion Parallax

#### ACM Reference Format:

Martin Mišiak, Niko Wißmann, Arnulph Fuhrmann, and Marc Erich Latoschik. 2019. The Impact of Stereo Rendering on the Perception of Normal Mapped Geometry in Virtual Reality. In 25th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology (VRST '19), November 12–15, 2019, Parramatta, NSW, Australia. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3359996.3364811

## **1** INTRODUCTION

3D depth perception emerges as a result of various depth cues, and is a prominent and important feature of most Virtual Reality (VR) applications. Generating depth cues at interactive frame rates is one central goal of the field of real-time rendering. Although a broad spectrum of techniques for accelerating real-time rendering exists, the extent of their applicability to VR is not entirely clear.

Normal maps [Kilgard 2000] are widely used to reduce the geometric complexity of a model. By explicitly storing its normals in a texture, the underlying geometry can be extremely simplified, yet retains its shading properties [Cohen et al. 1998]. While this approximation works well on a monoscopic screen, the lack of geometry

VRST '19, November 12-15, 2019, Parramatta, NSW, Australia

© 2019 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

Niko Wißmann TH Köln, Computer Graphics Group Köln, Germany niko.wissmann@smail.th-koeln.de

Marc Erich Latoschik University Würzburg, HCI Group Würzburg, Germany marc.latoschik@uni-wuerzburg.de

based depth cues is more noticeable in VR. Although some general guidelines for using this technique in VR have been established [Vlachos 2015], no precise evaluations have taken place.

Binocular disparity and motion parallax are important depth cues in VR applications. While both cues have a positive impact on user performance, their individual contribution depends strongly on the performed task [Faubert 2001; Norman et al. 1996; Ragan et al. 2012; Ware and Mitchell 2005]. In this context, we evaluate the influence of binocular disparity on the perception of normal mapped geometry in a head-tracked environment.

## 2 EXPERIMENT

A total of 24 subjects (21 males, 3 females), aged 20 - 36 (M = 25.1, SD = 3.8) were equally divided into two test groups - mono (motion parallax only) and stereo (motion parallax + binocular disparity). In 14 trials, participants were shown 2 models of a coin (Figure 2) side by side. One model represented the geometric reference, while the other coin was flat and had its minting solely represented by a normal map. The relative position and minting strength of both models was varied in a randomized order across each trial, ranging from 0.4 mm to 7.0 mm. The experiment was designed as a 2AFC, with the goal of identifying the "flatter" normal mapped model. Response time and correctness were noted for each trial. Participants were seated 1.3 m from the monitor screen and were encouraged to perform swaying movements during the trials to engage motion parallax.

To mitigate geometric masking effects [Rushmeier et al. 2000] and to strengthen the perception of 3D shape [O'Shea et al. 2008], a white frontal light source position without cast shadows and a homogeneous diffuse color were used to shade the models. Furthermore to reduce the negative influence of display resolution, a fish tank VR setup (Figure 1 (a)) was used. It consists of a 27 ",  $2560 \times 1440$  stereoscopic monitor with shutter glasses and a positional tracker. Using this setup a resolution of 95 pixels per degree was achieved when placing the observer at a distance of 1.3 m from the monitor screen.

#### **3 RESULTS**

Figure 1 (b) shows the average of correct responses given by the participants for each comparison pair. No statistically significant

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in 25th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology (VRST '19), November 12–15, 2019, Parramatta, NSW, Australia, https://doi.org/10.1145/3359996.3364811.



Figure 1: (a) Setup of the user study. The light orange area defines the subject's allowed movement radius during the study. Results of all trials, for both conditions: (b) Average response correctness (c) Average response time



Figure 2: Left: Side by side comparison of 2 coins as seen in each trial. Right: Oblique view of both coins to emphasize the differences. Top right: Geometry reference coin with a minting of 5 mm. Bottom right: Corresponding flat coin with applied normal map.

difference was found (Mann-Whitney-U = 67.5; p = 0.799) in the number of correct responses between both test groups. However, there are significant differences in the completion time. While the stereo group has an average total completion time of 125.1 s (SD = 24.0 s), the mono group took longer with 169.1 s (SD = 53.2 s) (Welch's F(1, 15.3) = 6.82, p = 0.019). We can also observe, that average response times in both viewing conditions decrease towards larger depth differences (Figure 1 (c)). While the response times for the stereo group decrease linearly, the mono group exhibits larger variances, which can be explained by the active nature of motion parallax as a depth cue.

## **4** CONCLUSION

To our surprise, the addition of binocular disparity did not decrease the error rate for detecting normal mapped geometry. The presence of motion parallax alone yielded the same results. However, we found significant differences in the measured task execution times between both conditions. Participants in the stereo group decided on average 25% faster, than participants in the mono group. The viewing condition was found to have a large effect size on the measured times (Cohens d = 1.066). In the literature, similar findings have been reported for spatial adjustment [Ragan et al. 2012] and path tracing tasks [van Beurden et al. 2010]. Participants of the stereo group also displayed more consistent response times. This suggests, that in a real-world time-constrained scenario, the addition of binocular disparity could lead to an increased detection probability for normal mapped geometry.

#### REFERENCES

- Jonathan Cohen, Marc Olano, and Dinesh Manocha. 1998. Appearance-preserving simplification. In Proceedings of the 25th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques. ACM, 115–122.
- Jocelyn Faubert. 2001. Motion parallax, stereoscopy, and the perception of depth: Practical and theoretical issues. In *Three-Dimensional Video and Display: Devices* and Systems: A Critical Review, Vol. 10298. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 1029809.
- Mark J. Kilgard. 2000. A practical and robust bump-mapping technique for today's GPUs. In *Game Developers Conference*. GDC, San Jose, CA, USA.
- J Farley Norman, James T Todd, Victor J Perotti, and James S Tittle. 1996. The visual perception of three-dimensional length. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* 22, 1 (1996), 173.
- James P. O'Shea, Martin S. Banks, and Maneesh Agrawala. 2008. The Assumed Light Direction for Perceiving Shape from Shading. In Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Applied Perception in Graphics and Visualization. 135–142. https://doi.org/10. 1145/1394281.1394306
- Eric D Ragan, Regis Kopper, Philip Schuchardt, and Doug A Bowman. 2012. Studying the effects of stereo, head tracking, and field of regard on a small-scale spatial judgment task. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 19, 5 (2012), 886–896.
- Holly Rushmeier, B. Rogowitz, and C. Piatko. 2000. Perceptual Issues in Substituting Texture for Geometry. In *Human Vision and Electronic Imaging*, Vol. 3959. SPIE, 372–383. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.387174
- Maurice HPH van Beurden, Andre Kuijsters, and Wijnand A IJsselsteijn. 2010. Performance of a path tracing task using stereoscopic and motion based depth cues. In 2010 Second International Workshop on Quality of Multimedia Experience (QoMEX). IEEE, 176–181.
- Alex Vlachos. 2015. Advanced VR rendering. In Game Developers Conference. GDC, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- Colin Ware and Peter Mitchell. 2005. Reevaluating stereo and motion cues for visualizing graphs in three dimensions. In Proceedings of the 2nd symposium on Applied perception in graphics and visualization. ACM, 51–58.